

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,073	04/27/2001	John F. Luk	P-20	1674
7590 12/07/2005			EXAMINER	
LACKENBACH SIEGEL MARZULLO			TON, ANABEL	
ARONSON & GREENSPAN, P.C One Chase Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Scarsdale, NY 10583			2875	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$n \lambda$
YΟ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/845,073	LUK, JOHN F.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anabel M. Ton	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	<u>eptember 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ace except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-41 and 59-122</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 59-122</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 12</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) <u>13-41</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document		an Na			
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	- M			

Application/Control Number: 09/845,073

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold and further in view of Polidor et al (5,690,417).

Arnold discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of each diode oriented to emit a light beam skewed to the mounting surface of the mounting template. Arnold discloses frame means defining a mounting surface for supporting a plurality of light emitting diodes, said frame means including a substantially rigid mounting template for providing a substantially fixed hollow volume; means for mounting at least one diode of said plurality of diodes within said hollow volume of said frame means and simultaneously for positioning said plurality of diodes (col. 3 lines 35-57, fig 3), and circuit board means structurally associated with said frame means for transmitting and controlling electrical voltage to said plurality of light emitting diodes(col. 3lines 48-51), circuit board means being a unitary rigid circuit board and frame including a plurality of diode electrical connectors(inherent when LEDs are used), and said means for mounting includes said plurality of diode electrical leads(inherent structure in LEDs) connected to said plurality of diode electrical connectors, and said means for mounting includes said plurality of electrical leads being

Application/Control Number: 09/845,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

individually positioned and angles wherein said plurality of diodes are positioned and arranged wherein each said discrete diode light is independently directed to said focal point(fig 5). Polidor discloses a surface illuminator with LEDs and a mounting template wherein each discrete diode is individually oriented to emit a light beam skewed to said mounting surface of said mounting template and directly directed to a predetermined illumination area (Abstract, fig 1, fig 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Polidor's teaching of light sources skewed with respect to the mounting surface in the device of Arnold since Polidor teaches the device facilitating illuminating selective areas with a greater concentration of illumination and the ability to selectively vary orientation and inclination of the of the light directed towards a selected area. Such a modification would be advantageous to the device of Arnold since it would facilitate Arnold with an adjustable illumination means.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-11, 59-122 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 13-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter can be found in the office action dated 09/22/04.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Bandra O'Shaa
Guporvisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800